

Review our Expected Standards of Behavior when participating in ICANN Meetings.

Go to: http://go.icann.org/expected-standards

Review the ICANN Community Anti-Harassment Policy when participating in ICANN Meetings.

Go to: http://go.icann.org/anti-harassment



Do you have a question or concern for the ICANN Ombudsman?

Email ombudsman@icann.org to set up a meeting.





### GAC Meeting with ccNSO

Tuesday, 20 September 2022 13:15 - 14:30

#### Agenda

#### 1. Introduction

Manal Ismail (GAC Chair) and Alejandra Reynoso (ccNSO Chair)

- 2. ccPDP3 on Review Mechanisms ccNSO and GAC Discussion Stephen Deerhake (Chair), Eberhard Lisse (Vice Chair)
- 3. ccPDP4 on Internationalized Domain Names (IDNs) Kenny Huang (Chair), Anil Kumar Jain (Vice Chair), Dennis Tan Tanaka Chair VM Subgroup)
- 4. ccNSO Domain Name System (DNS) Abuse Standing Committee (DASC) Nick Wenban Smith (Chair), Bruce Tonkin (Vice Chair)
- 5. AOB



# CCPDP Review Mechanism

### Update to the GAC

ICANN 75 September 2022





- Background
- Principles of the CCPDP-RM WG
- Status of the working group
- Key elements of the draft policy
- Next steps
- Questions

### Background

(2017) Charter for the Working Group Review Mechanism of ccTLDs - The goal of the working group (WG) is to report on and recommend a policy for a review mechanism with respect to decisions pertaining to the delegation, transfer, revocation and retirement of (ccTLDs).

### **Principles of the CCPDP-RM WG**

- Low cost of Process
- Limited Duration of the

process.

 Accessibility of the process

process

Fundamental Fairness

The CCPDP-RM working group has completed the development of a draft policy for a review mechanism which includes the results of a stress testing exercise.

# Key elements of the draft policy for review mechanism

### **Decisions covered by the CCRM?**

- Delegation of a new ccTLD
- Transfers
- Revocations
- Refusal to grant an extension to the retirement deadline.
- Notice of Retirement for 2-letter Latin ccTLD which does not correspond to an ISO 3166-1 Alpha-2 Code Element

### **Objective of a review**

Identify any significant issues in how the IFO:

 Followed its procedures and applying these fairly in arriving at its Decision;

or

• Complied with the relevant policy in making its Decision.

### **Review Process highlights**

- IFO takes a decision that is subject to review (as covered by the policy)
- The ccTLD Manager requests a Review.
- Reviewer(s) complete the review.
- If no significant issues were found the review process is concluded and the IFO decision is confirmed.

# What if the reviewers find significant issues?

- The IFO accepts the results and adjusts its decision this would conclude the review process.
- The IFO accepts the results but opts to redo the process which resulted in the original decision.
- The IFO rejects the results.

### The IFO rejects the results of the review

 If the IFO decision requires Board approval - the IFO shall include the findings from the review in its recommendation to the Board for confirmation.

• If the IFO decision does not require Board approval, the ICANN CEO and the ccNSO Council shall be advised of the situation.

### The IFO opts to redo the process

Once the IFO completes the redo of the process, the ccTLD Manager must decide to:

Accept the new results – this will conclude the Review process.

- Request a Review of the new decision by the IFO.

### Who can request a review?

• Any of the applicants for a new ccTLD

 Only a ccTLD manager who is the subject of the transfer, revocation, or retirement decision

### <u>Multiple requests to review the same</u> IFO decision

• First application received, first accepted.

 In case of a tie the Review Process Manager selects the request to be accepted.

### **The Review Process Manager**

- Will be responsible for implementing and managing all aspects of the Review process.
- Will be funded and managed by ICANN.

### **Reviewers**

- Reviewers must be certified, impartial and have at least 10 years of relevant practical experience.
- Reviewers will be paid for by ICANN/IFO.

### IFO obligations under the Policy

- The IFO cannot take any action on a decision pending:
  - IFO Internal Reconsideration\*,
  - IFO Mediation\*,or
  - Review
- Should the IFO fail to meet the requirements of the Review policy the ccNSO Council and the ICANN CEO will be advised accordingly.

\* As defined in the IANA Naming Function

ICANNIGAC Contract

### <u>Can decisions of reviewers for a</u> given IFO decision apply to other IFO decisions?



### <u>Can there be more than one Review</u> for a given IFO decision?

 No. A Review will consider all aspects of any given IFO decision that is being reviewed including those that involve multiple parties.

### **Evaluating and updating the Review Process**

- The policy needs to be updated if:
- there are significant changes to the policies it covers;
  - or
- -There is a significant change to ISO 3166.

### **Next Steps for the CCPDP-RM WG**

- Following presentations at ICANN 75 the CCPDP-RM WG will finalize its Initial draft policy report
- Expected start of public consultation in the November 2022 timeframe.

### **Questions?**

# Update IDN ccTLD selection process (ccPDP4)

Joint ccNSO-GAC Meeting ICANN75, Kuala Lumpur 20 September 2022, block 3

## Topics

- The ccNSO IDN ccTLD string selection policy roadmap
- Principles and Basic Criteria selection IDN ccTLD String
- Deselection of IDN ccTLDs
- General Applicability of ccTLD related policies



# The Roadmap: Where are we now?

ccPDP2 Closed and Evolution of Fast Track Process stopped Gap Analysis Overall IDN ccTLD policy (PDP 2): completed June 2019

> Bylaw change process to allow IDN ccTLDs in ccNSO approved by the ICANN Board Effective date: 2 June 2022

Replacing ccPDP2



ccPDP 4 (policy to select IDN ccTLDs strings): Issue Report adopted ccNSO Council May 2020 Report progress to date

#### Overview of activties and expected time to complete

#### Full Working Group

update basic policy (completed) and adding recommendations of the sub-groups

- Deselection (completed)
- Variant Management by full WG (almost completed)
- CS discussion full WG (Completed December 2022)
- Stress Testing to start post ICANN75
- Refference to review mechanism (added in June 2022, complete in December 2022)

Sub-group Variant Management (Completed)

Definition & validating variants of IDN ccTLDs and requirements for the delegation of variant IDN ccTLDs
Area coordination with GNSO IDN EPDP.

Sub-group De-Selection of IDN ccTLDs (Completed)

Deselection (retirement) of IDNccTLDs (Completed)

Sub-group Confusing Similarity

Review & update review process (underway), completed November 2022

Standard for Review, Base for Comparison completed

#### Major steps



# Topics

- The ccNSO IDNccTLD string selection policy roadmap
- Principles and Basic Criteria selection IDN ccTLD String
- Deselection of IDN ccTLDs
- General Applicability of ccTLD related policies

# Principles underpinning the policy

- An IDN ccTLD string MUST be associated with a Territory
- (ASCII) ccTLD and IDN ccTLDs are all country code Top Level Domains
- Preserve security, stability and interoperability of the DNS
- Requests for the delegation of IDN ccTLDs should be an ongoing process
- The number of IDN ccTLDs per Territory are determined by Criteria

# Basic criteria for selection of an IDN ccTLD string

The IDN ccTLD string must be

#### a Meaningful Representation of the name of a Territory in a Designated Language and related script

The ISO3166-1 principle for the representation of Territories in code elements:

the **visual association** between the **name of a Territory** (in English or French, or sometimes in another language) and their **corresponding code elements**.

The principle of association should be maintained:

A selected IDN ccTLD string and its variants must be a Meaningful Representation of the name of the Territory.

# Other Criteria for the selection of IDN ccTLD string

- Technical Criteria
  - IDN TLDs must comply with IDNA2008 (RFCs 5890-5895) or its successor(s).
  - RZ-LGR
- Only one (1) IDN ccTLD string per Designated Language
- Selected IDN ccTLD string must be non-contentious within the Territory
  - Evidenced by a statement by the Significantly Interested Parties in the Territory.
  - Significantly Interested Parties is derived from RFC 1591 and as interpreted by the Framework of Interpretation
  - Significant Interested Parties always includes relevant government

Pending recommendations Confusing Similarity sub-groups

# Topics

- The ccNSO IDN ccTLD string selection policy roadmap
- Principles and Basic Criteria selection IDN ccTLD String
- Deselection of IDN ccTLDs
- General Applicability of ccTLD related policies

## De-selection of IDN ccTLD strings

- Dovetails with proposed Retirement policy
- De-selection of IDN ccTLDs => Definition of trigger event in terms of Retirement policy
- Trigger event IDN ccTLDs initiates Retirement process of the selected IDNccTLD and its delegated variants

### Potential Trigger Events for de-selection

- Removal of the name of a Territory from ISO3166-1 list
- The selected and /or delegated IDN ccTLD string is no longer a Meaningful Representation of the name of the Territory (change of name)
- Language denoting IDN ccTLD string is no longer a Designated Language
- The script in which IDN ccTLD string is expressed is no longer script in which the Designated Language is expressed
- The selected IDN ccTLD string is no longer supported by the Significantly Interested Parties in the Territory

# Topics

- The ccNSO IDNccTLD string selection policy roadmap
- Principles and Basic Criteria selection IDNccTLD String
- Deselection of IDNccTLDs
- General Applicability of ccTLD related policies

## Applicable policies

All ccTLD related policies

(Delegation, Transfer, Revocation & Retirement of ccTLDs) apply to (variant) IDNccTLDs, unless specific requirements under the proposed policy state otherwise.

Example specific requirement:

An IDNccTLD and its variants must be delegated to one and the same ccTLD Manager.

### Questions?

## References

• Information on ccPDP4 WG:

https://ccnso.icann.org/en/workinggroups/idn-cctld-strings.htm

#### • Wikispace: here you can find all documents, recordings, and notes:

https://community.icann.org/display/ccnsowkspc/Policy+Development+Process+%28ccPD P4%29+-+%28de-%29selection+of+IDN+ccTLD+Strings

• IDNccPDP2 (2013) Recommendations:

https://ccnso.icann.org/en/announcements/announcement-26sep13-en.htm

#### Fast Track Implementation Plan:

https://www.icann.org/resources/pages/fast-track-2012-02-25-en

• General IDN material:

https://www.icann.org/resources/pages/idn-2012-02-25-en

## References

• SAC 060: SSAC Comment on Examining the User Experience Implications of Active Variant TLDs Report

<u>https://www.icann.org/en/system/files/files/sac-060-en.p</u> <u>df</u>

• SAC 120: Input to GNSO IDN EPDP on Internationalized Domain Name Variants (28 April 2022)

<u>https://www.icann.org/en/system/files/files/sac-120-en.p</u> <u>df</u>

# Introducing the DNS Abuse Standing Committee (DASC)

Joint ccNSO-GAC meeting

## ccNSO & DNS Abuse

- No Policy role ccNSO for ccTLDs with respect to ccTLDs
- Significant diversity across the ccTLD community
- ccTLDs rank comparatively well in terms of levels of DNS Abuse
- ccNSO activities are complementary to and build on local and regional initiatives

# DNS Abuse Standing Committee: what will it do?

- Raise awareness and promote discussion and education
- Provide authoritative data and information via survey
- Share information on DNS Abuse for all ccTLDs (ccTLDs for ccTLDs)
- Create and maintain resources and a dedicated email list
- Inform other stakeholders in ICANN ecosystem and beyond

